

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,339	03/20/2007	David C. Huffman	239104	1878
23460 LEYDIG VOI	7590 12/31/2007 Γ & MAYER, LTD	EXAMINER		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			GANEY, STEVEN J	
180 NORTH S CHICAGO, IL	TETSON AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
011101100,12	7,00001 0731		3752	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/552,339	HUFFMAN, DAVID C.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven J. Ganey	3752			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a reposite apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 O</u>	<u>ctober 2005</u> .	·			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	, F , F , F , F					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	,			
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to b drawing(s) be held in abeyand ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been r ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Infon	the of Neterlenees Cited (FTO-092) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

10/552,339 Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hamilton '057.

Hamilton '057 discloses a modular spray gun assembly comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention, see figure 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamilton '057 in view of Huffman.

Hamilton '057 discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention except for the control module being valve needle with a single action piston or double action piston, a mounting plate and backup plate. Huffman discloses the teaching of using a single action piston

or double action piston or solenoid actuated valve to operate a control module. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use either a single action piston or double action piston for the solenoid actuated needle in Hamilton '057, since Huffman teaches the equivalence of using either single action piston or double action piston or solenoid actuated valve to operate a control module.

As to the use of a mounting plate or backup plate, the apparatus of Hamilton '057, as modified by Huffman, would be capable of using such plates in the device and would perform equally as well. Such plates are known in the art to facilitate assembly and disassembly of spray gun assemblies.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wary et al and Hamilton '908 show spray gun assemblies.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is 571-272-4899. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00; Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/552,339

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

sjg 12/26/07 STEVEN J. GANEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER